Acta Biomed 2023; Vol. 94, Supplement 3: e2023165 DOI: 10.23750/abm.v94iS3.14666

Original article

An overview on the use of ultraviolet radiation to disinfect air and surfaces

Roberto Albertini[1,2], *Maria Eugenia Colucci*[1], *Alessia Coluccia*[1], *Mostafa Mohieldin Mahgoub Ibrahim*[1], *Roberta Zoni*[1], *Licia Veronesi*[1], *Paola Affanni*[1], *Cesira Pasquarella*[1]

[1]Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma, Parma, Italy; [2]U.O. Medicina di Continuità, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Parma, Parma, Italy

Abstract. *Background and aim:* Ultraviolet radiation (UV) is the portion of the electromagnetic spectrum of wavelengths between 200 and 400 nm divided into three bands called UVA, UVB and UVC. Due to its well-described antimicrobial activity, UVC can represent a useful tool for disinfecting surfaces, water, and air. This study aimed to illustrate the studies over time of ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) to disinfect air and surfaces. *Methods:* Articles on Scopus published until April 14, 2023, were considered. Many issues involving UV were deepened crosschecking with e.g., "air", "surfaces", "disinfection", "bacteria", "fungi", "operating theatres". According to the case, the following variables were considered: years and related number of articles, subject areas, type of document published, type of journal, and nationalities of the authors. *Results:* Since '30s, 287 448 articles on UV have been published. Among UVGI, 22 159 articles covered bacteria issues, followed by fungi and viruses with about 12 000 both. UVGI was addressed by 1941 and 931 articles for surfaces and air, respectively. Of these, 122 were performed in operating theatres. Since 1987, works have been published on spacecraft and, since 2000, on the use of UVGI robots for disinfecting air and surfaces. *Conclusions:* Our study shows the studies on UVGI and related issues. It also shows the most recent perspectives about possible applications e.g. during prolonged human-crewed missions on spacecraft, to inactivate microorganisms in environments where the exchange of air is impossible. (www.actabiomedica.it)

Key words: UV radiation, air and surfaces, operating theatres, disinfection

Introduction

Ultraviolet radiation (UV radiation) is the portion of the electromagnetic spectrum of wavelengths between 200 and 400 nm (nanometres). Towards the longer wavelengths, UV radiation borders on the shorter wavelength visible light, perceived by humans, of a violet colour, hence the denomination "ultraviolet radiation". UV radiation is divided into three bands of different wavelengths, called UVA, UVB and UVC. The exact wavelengths by which the three bands are defined vary according to the specific fields of study. However, the most used subdivision is the following: UVA: 400-315 nm; UVB: 315-280 nm; UVC: 280-200 nm (1). The most important natural source of ultraviolet radiation is the sun. The emission is linked to the transformation of the thermal energy produced by nuclear and chemical reactions taking place inside and on the surface of the star, into radiant energy. The atmosphere of the earth, through processes of absorption and diffusion, acts as a filter against radiation. In particular, UVC radiation (the most harmful to life due to its high energy content) is completely absorbed by the ozone and oxygen of the highest layers of the atmosphere; UVB radiation is also largely absorbed, but a non-negligible percentage (about 15-20%) reaches the earth's surface; UVA radiation manages to a large extent (about 55-60%) to reach the earth's surface.

In summary, the UV radiation that reaches the earth's surface is about 9% of the solar radiation at the top of the atmosphere and is distributed between UVA (90%) and UVB (10%). Artificial sources derive from various types and fields of application. Among the most widespread, there are germicidal lamps which are used to reduce microorganism contamination of devices and hospital environments. The standard source of UVC in commercial systems is low-pressure mercury vapor lamps. In the handcraft and industrial fields, the use of electric arc welders and some lasers that operate at wavelengths included in the ultraviolet range is frequent. Another use of UV lamps is in beauty salons to promote tanning. Based on the scientific literature, World Health Organisation (WHO) (2) has identified the diseases closely related to exposure to ultraviolet radiation (skin melanoma, squamous cell carcinoma, basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma of the cornea or conjunctiva, keratoses, cortical cataract, pterygium).

One of the main uses of UV radiation is the disinfection of surfaces, air, and water. Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) uses short-wave ultraviolet energy (UVC) to inactivate viral, bacterial, and fungal organisms, making them unable to replicate themselves and spread diseases (1). Reed et al. describe the studies on UVGI in the air (3). As early as 1845, it was known that microorganisms respond to light (4). In 1877 it was observed that sunlight prevented the growth of microorganisms, and, upon increased exposure durations, the test tubes remained bacteria-free for several months (5-10). Between 1914 and 1930 some articles provided the first analytical bactericidal action spectrum of UVGI (11-14). Some studies suggested that nucleic acids may be the genetic material and responsible for cell death-proteins, as was a common belief at the time (15). In 1960, it was demonstrated that UVC radiation results in the formation of dimers from adjacent pyrimidines, accounting for "a large part of the effects of ultraviolet radiation on biological systems" (16).

Derived from the droplet theory put forth by Flügge (17), the concept arose of airborne infection by droplet nuclei and the use of UVGI to disinfect the air (18-19). Some studies of airstream disinfection using UVGI in HVAC systems were performed (20-21). After some initial mistakes (22), some studies reported a reduction in the postoperative wound infection rate from 11.62% without the use of UVGI to 0.24% with the use of UVGI (23-28). Throughout the 1940s, extensive work provided further evidence for the efficacy of UVGI, and guidelines for UVGI air disinfection systems and applications were proposed (29). The effectiveness of UVGI to disinfect exhaust air was also demonstrated, including the first use inside an air conditioner (30-31). In 1955, Wells published the Air Contagion and Air Hygiene (32), deemed a "landmark monograph on air hygiene" by Nardell (33). Riley followed with his Airborne Infection: Transmission and Control (34) and the concept of UVGI effectiveness was extended to the prevention of tuberculosis (TB) (35). Moreover, it was extended to airborne transmission of influenza, during the 1957 pandemic. The infection rate was only 1.9% in an irradiated ward, while it was 18.9% in a non-irradiated ward (36).

Although the early successes in the effectiveness of UVGI, it was neglected in the following years (37-38). The inability to reproduce the success in preventing the spread of measles (39-41) or cold and bacterial illness (42-46) can help to explicate that, but also the increasing use of antibiotics at that time and the hope that viral diseases could be controlled by vaccines. In the meantime, concern about the health effects of UVC exposure and ozone production from germicidal lamps was highlighted.

Despite that, the evaluation of UVGI effectiveness in operating theatres to reduce post-surgical infections continued (47). In 2003, the Commission Internationale d'Eclarage (CIE) (48) published a technical report on UVGI air disinfection, summarising the state of knowledge of that time.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) expanded their previous recommendation (49-50) about UVGI adding TB infection control in healthcare settings. Afterward, CDC produced the first comprehensive guideline for UVGI in the control of TB in healthcare settings (51) and a clinical trial was published (52).

Considering healthcare–associated infections (HAIs), and, among these, surgical site infections (SSIs) (53-58), the CDC provided recommendations for environmental disinfection (59), and particular attention was dedicated to environments for paediatric immunocompromised patients (60).

UVGI technology has been increasingly used in healthcare settings to prevent infection by disinfecting surfaces, water, and air (61-67). Some studies demonstrated the effectiveness of UVGI in the environmental fight against multidrug-resistant organisms (68-71). Recently, a new issue was added to UVGI; prolonged human-crewed missions on the Moon are foreseen as a gateway for Mars and asteroid colonization in the next decades could expose crews to health risks related to airborne biological contaminants and long-time permanence in space. A possible way to perform pathogens' inactivation could be by employing the shortest wavelength range of solar ultraviolet radiation. This could be a new challenge for the future (72).

This study aimed to illustrate the studies overtime on the use of ultraviolet radiation to reduce air and surface contamination with particular reference to the operating theatres providing a picture of the spread of this topic among the scientific community.

Methods

Based on our recent studies (73-74), we searched Scopus for articles published until April 14, 2023, using the string TITLE-ABS-KEY (UV AND radiation OR ultraviolet). Only Scopus was considered as it covers a wider range of journals, aiding in both keyword research and citation analysis (75). Articles written in all languages were included. Many issues involving ultraviolet and air or surfaces contamination were deepened by crosschecking with i.e., "disinfection/sanitisation/sterilisation" (in figures and in the text reported as disinfection, "bacteria", "molds/fungi/fungal", "virus/viruses", "prions", "surgical site/wound infection", "operating theatres/rooms".

According to the case, the following variables were considered: years and related number of articles, subject areas, type of document published, type of journal, nationalities of the authors, and institutions to which the authors belonged.

Results

From 1933 to April 14th, 2023, 287 448 articles on ultraviolet radiation were published (Figure 1) by authors from 160 countries (Figure 2). The top ten include the United States, China, India, Germany, Japan, United Kingdom, France, Italy, South Korea, and Spain, with 232 264 authors representing 63.2% of all authors.



Figure 1. Papers published from 1933 on ultraviolet radiation.



Figure 2. Countries of authors involved in ultraviolet radiation studies.

Regarding UV radiation and microorganisms, bacteria were the topic covered since 1931 by 22 159 articles. On ultraviolet radiation and viruses 11 356 articles were published since 1935, while since 1920, 11 824 articles appeared on fungi, 1 210 on algae, and only 196 articles on prions and 135 on protozoan (Figure 3).

Since 1941, 1 941 articles have addressed TITLE-ABS-KEY (uvc OR uv-c OR ultraviolet AND surfaces AND disinfection OR sanitisation OR sterilisation), and 931 articles have addressed TITLE-ABS-KEY (uvc OR uv-c OR ultraviolet AND air AND disinfection OR sanitisation OR sterilisation) (data not shown). The studies on ultraviolet radiation about operating theatres, TITLE-ABS-KEY (uvc OR uv-c OR ultraviolet AND operating AND theatres OR rooms AND disinfection OR sanitisation OR sterilisation) were 122 (65 on air and 33 on surfaces) (Figure 4).

The principal area of interest of the journal publishing UVGI papers was medicine (Figure 5).

The use of UVGI to reduce SSI was evaluated in 171 papers since 1959 (Figure 6) with an increase in the last seven years and with authors mainly from the United States, followed by the following countries: United Kingdom, Japan, Germany, India, Italy, Canada, United Arab Emirates, Finland, Norway (Figure 7).

Since 1987, 34 studies have been published on TITLE-ABS-KEY (uvc OR uv-c OR ultraviolet AND spacecraft OR space AND station AND air OR surfaces AND contamination) of which 9 only focused on air, starting from 1992 until 2010. After that date, no data are available.

Since 2000, 19 articles have been published on the use of robots for disinfecting air and surfaces using ultraviolet radiation (80), and 21 articles dealt since 2017 with drones in the field of decontamination.



Figure 3. Papers published over time on UV radiation and bacteria, fungi, viruses, prions, algae and protozoan.



Figure 4. Number of papers published on air and surfaces disinfection in operating theatres.



Figure 5. Subject areas involved in UVGI studies in operating theatres.



Figure 6. The trend of articles published on UVGI and reduction of surgical site/wound infection.



Figure 7. Countries of authors involved in studies about reduction of surgical site/wound using UVGI.

Conclusions

The studies on the use of UVGI are undoubtedly numerous. The efficacy of UVGI disinfection has been established for a long time. Some studies included the measurement of bioburden;most of these also indicated that UVGI led to reduction of healthcare-associated infections, but with a lack of standardisation and the presence of confounding factors (76). However, the number of studies regarding practices in contexts where their effectiveness can lead to benefits seems to be relatively small; for example, in operating theatres where the reduction of the incidence of surgical site infections and in any case the reduction of the infectious risk also for healthcare workers are an important objective.

Due to its well-described antimicrobial activity, UVC can be a useful tool to replace traditional general-purpose surface disinfectants (70). However, manual cleaning is a prerequisite for the use of UVC disinfection. Evidence also suggests that manual cleaning and disinfection are often inadequate and result in residual contamination (77).

Interest in technologies, such as robotic devices that perform surface and air disinfection procedures using UVGI, has recently increased dramatically. UVGI complements rather than replaces physical environmental disinfection. The use of disinfection protocols plays a significant role in preventing and containing the spread of infectious diseases, a reality highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic (78).

Delivery times for single rooms in hospitals must be short given the high bed occupancy levels in many countries. UVC robots will need additional time that interferes with daily hospital routines. Therefore, their use must be integrated into the hospital workflow. The advantages of UVC robots are (77): (a) The robotic disinfection will work in an unmanned and standardized way, without the need for continuous human presence

at the disinfection site. Thus, exposure of healthcare workers to harmful UV radiation can be avoided during the process. (b) The application of UVC as a final disinfection step after manual cleaning and manual disinfection provides an additional hygienic benefit to reduce cross-transmission and healthcare-associated infections. (c) UV light leaves no residue, making it an environmentally friendly disinfection method.

This new technology could be used to complement current hospital cleaning and disinfection practices (77-81).

This study is not a systematic review and is based only on the Scopus database, therefore some documents may have been lost. However, we believe that the analysis of the results of our study provides a useful tool to know the evolution of studies on the use of UV radiation to reduce air and surface contamination mainly in operating theatres to raise awareness towards better sharing of objectives, approaches, and results, above all in the interest of patients and health services. It also shows more recent perspectives on the use of UVGI for future application, for example during extended manned missions to the Moon envisaged as a gateway to colonisation of Mars and asteroids in the coming decades, to perform pathogen inactivation employing the shortest wavelength range of solar ultraviolet radiation as a natural source.

Conflicts of Interest: Each author declares that he or she has no commercial associations (e.g. consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangement etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

Author Contribution: All the authors: 1) contributed to the design of the article; 2) drafted and revised the article critically for intellectual content; 3) approved the version to be published; 4) agreed for all aspects of the article in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the paper are appropriately investigated and resolved

Ethic Committee: not applicable

References

1. ASHRAE Handbook—HVAC Applications. Ultraviolet air and surface treatment. Chapter 62, 2019. Available from: https://www.ashrae.org/file%20library/technical%20resources/covid-19/i-p_a19_ch62_uvairandsurfacetreatment.pdf.
2. World Health Organization, World Meteorological Organization, United Nations Environment Programme & International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. (2002). Global solar UV index: a practical guide. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42459.
3. Reed NG. The History of Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation for Air Disinfection. Public Health Reports 2010;125(1):15-27. doi: 10.1177/003335491012500105.
4. Schmarda LK. Der Einfluss des Lichtes auf die Infusionsthierchen.Med Jahrbücher des k. k. Österreichischen Staates 1845;54:257-70.
5. Downes A, Blunt TP. The influence of light upon the development of bacteria. Nature 1877;16:218. doi: 10.1038/016218a0.
6. Downes A, Blunt TP. Researches on the effect of light upon bacteria and other organisms. Proc R Soc Lond 1877;26:488-500. doi: 10.1098/rspl.1877.0068.
7. Downes A, Blunt TP. On the influence of light upon protoplasm. Proc R Soc Lond 1878;28:199-212. doi: 10.1098/rspl.1878.0109.
8. Tyndall J. Note on the influence exercised by light on organic infusions. Proc R Soc Lond 1878;28:212-3. doi: 10.1098/rspl.1878.0110.
9. Tyndall J. On the arrestation of infusorial life. Science 1881;2(68):478. doi: 10.1126/science.os-2.68.478.
10. Hockberger PE. A history of ultraviolet photobiology for humans, animals, and microorganisms. Photochem Photobiol 2002; 76(6):561-79. doi: 10.1562/0031-8655(2002)0760561AHOUPF2.0.CO2.
11. Henri MmeV, Henri V. Variation du pouvoir abiotique des rayons ultraviolets avec leur longueur d'onde. C R Seances Soc Biol Fil 1914;73:321-2.
12. Gates FL. A study of the bactericidal action of ultraviolet light: I. The reaction to monochromatic radiations. J Gen Physiol 1929;13(2):231-48. doi: 10.1085/jgp.13.2.231.
13. Gates FL. A study of the bactericidal action of ultraviolet light: II. The effect of various environmental factors and conditions. J Gen Physiol 1929;13(2):249-60. doi: 10.1085/jgp.13.2.249.
14. Gates FL. A study of the bactericidal action of ultraviolet light: III. The absorption of ultraviolet light by bacteria. J Gen Physiol 1930;14(1):31-42. doi: 10.1085/jgp.14.1.31.
15. Harris FI, Hoyt HS. The possible origin of the toxicity of ultra-violet light. Science 1917; 46(1187):318-20. doi: 10.1126/science.46.1187.318.
16. Beukers R, Berends W. Isolation and identification of the irradiation product of thymine. Biochim Biophys Acta 1960;41:550-1. doi: 10.1016/0006-3002(60)90063-9.

17. Flügge C. Ueber Luftinfektion. Z Hyg Infectionskr 1897;25:179-224.
18. Wells WF. On air-borne infection: study II. Droplets and droplet nuclei. Am J Hyg 1934;20:611-8.
19. Wells WF, Fair MG. Viability of B. coli exposed to ultraviolet radiation in air. Science 1935; 82(2125):280-1. doi: 10.1126/science.82.2125.280-a.
20. Sharp DG. A quantitative method of determining the lethal effect of ultraviolet light on bacteria suspended in air. J Bacteriol 1938;35:589-99. doi: 10.1128/jb.35.6.589-599.1938.
21. Sharp DG. The effects of ultraviolet light on bacteria suspended in air. J Bacteriol 1940;39:535-47. doi: 10.1128/jb.39.5.535-547.1940.
22. Hart D. Sterilization of the air in the operating room by special bactericidal radiant energy: results of its use in extrapleural thoracoplasties. J Thorac Surg 1936;6:45-81. doi: 10.1016/S0096-5588(20)32445-4.
23. Hart D. Bactericidal ultraviolet radiation in the operating room: twenty-nine-year study for control of infections. JAMA 1960;172:1019-28. doi: 10.1001/jama.1960.03020100027006.
24. Overholt RH, Betts RH. A comparative report on infection of thoracoplasty wounds: experiences with ultraviolet irradiation of operating room air. J Thorac Surg 1940;9:520-9. doi: 10.1016/S0096-5588(20)32260-1.
25. Kraissl CJ, Cimiotti JG, Meleney FL. Considerations in the use of ultraviolet radiation in operating rooms. Ann Surg 1940;111:161-85. doi: 10.1097/00000658-194002000-00001.
26. Woodhall B, Neill RG, Dratz HM. Ultraviolet radiation as an adjunct in the control of postoperative neurosurgical infection. II clinical experience 1938–1948. Ann Surg 1949;129:820-4.
27. Goldner JL, Moggio M, Beissinger SF, McCollum DE. Ultraviolet light for the control of airborne bacteria in the operating room. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1980;353:271-84. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb18930.x.
28. Lowell JD, Kundsin RB, Schwartz CM, Pozin D. Ultraviolet radiation and reduction of deep wound infection following hip and knee arthroplasty. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1980;353:285-93. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb18931.x.
29. Luckiesh M. Applications of germicidal, erythemal, and infrared energy. New York: D. Van Nostrand Company; 1946. doi: 10.1093/ptj/27.3.204b.
30. Harstad JB, Decker HM, Wedum AG. Use of ultraviolet irradiation in a room air conditioner for removal of bacteria. Appl Microbiol 1954;2:148-51. doi: 10.1128/am.2.3.148-151.1954.
31. Miller OT, Schmitt RF, Phillips GB. Applications of germicidal ultraviolet in infectious disease laboratories: I. Sterilization of small volumes of air by ultraviolet irradiation. Am J Public Health Nations Health 1955;45:1420-3. doi: 10.2105/ajph.45.11.1420.
32. Wells WF. Airborne Contagion and Air Hygiene: An Ecological Study of Droplet Infections. Ed Harvard University; 1955:1-423. doi: 10.1093/ajcp/25.11.1301.
33. Nardell EA. Transmission and safety issues. In: Friedman LN, editor. Tuberculosis: current concepts and treatment. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 1994:53-70.
34. Riley RL, O'Grady F. Airborne infection: transmission and control. New York: The Macmillan Company; 1961.
35. Riley RL, Wells WF, Mills CC, Nyka W, McLean RL. Air hygiene in tuberculosis: quantitative studies of infectivity and control in a pilot ward. Am Rev Tuberc 1957;75:420-31. doi: 10.1164/artpd.1957.75.3.420.
36. McLean RL. The mechanism of spread of Asian influenza: general discussion. Am Rev Respir Dis 1961(2 Pt 2);83:36-8.
37. Riley RL, Permutt S. Room air disinfection by ultraviolet irradiation of upper air: air mixing and germicidal effectiveness. Arch Environ Health 1971;22:208-19. doi: 10.1080/00039896.1971.10665834.
38. Riley RL, Permutt S, Kaufman JE. Convection, air mixing, and ultraviolet air disinfection in rooms. Arch Environ Health 1971;22:200-7. doi: 10.1080/00039896.1971.10665833.
39. Riley RL, Permutt S, Kaufman JE. Room air disinfection by ultraviolet irradiation of upper air: further analysis of convective air exchange. Arch Environ Health 1971;23:35-9. doi: 10.1080/00039896.1971.10665951.
40. Riley RL, Nardell EA. Clearing the air: the theory and application of ultraviolet air disinfection. Am Rev Repir Dis 1989;139:1286-94. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm/139.5.1286.
41. Wells MW. Ventilation in the spread of chickenpox and measles within school rooms. JAMA 1945;129:197-200. doi:10.1001/jama.1945.02860370019006.
42. Perkins JE, Bahlke AM, Silverman HF. Effect of ultra-violet irradiation of classrooms on spread of measles in large rural central schools: preliminary report. Am J Public Health Nations Health 1947;37:529-37.
43. Brooks GL, Wilson U, Blackfan KD. Studies of cross-infection in the infants' hospital in Boston. In: Moulton FR, editor. Aerobiology (Publication of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, No. 17). Washington: AAAS; 1942:228-32.
44. Schneiter R, Hollaender A, Caminita BH, et al. Effectiveness of ultraviolet irradiation of upper air for the control of bacterial air contamination in sleeping quarters. Preliminary report. Am J Hyg 1944;40:136-53. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a118981.
45. duBuy HG, Dunn JE, Brackett FS, Dreessen WC, Neal PA, Posner I. An evaluation of ultraviolet radiation of sleeping quarters as supplement of accepted methods of disease control. Am J Hyg 1948;48:207-26. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a119236.
46. Kingston D, Lidwell OM, Williams RE. The epidemiology of the common cold: III. The effect of ventilation, air disinfection and room size. J Hyg (Lond) 1962;60:341-52. doi: 10.1017/s0022172400020453.
47. Lidwell OM. Ultraviolet radiation and the control of airborne contamination in the operating room. J Hosp Infect 1994;28:245-8. doi: 10.1016/0195-6701(94)90088-4.

48. Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage. Technical report: ultraviolet air disinfection (CIE 155/2003). Vienna: CIE; 2003.
49. Guidelines for preventing the transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in health-care settings, 2005. MMWR Recomm Rep 2005;54(RR17):1-141.
50. Guidelines for preventing the transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in health-care facilities, 1994. MMWR Recomm Rep 1994;43(RR-13):1-132.
51. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US). Environmental control for tuberculosis: basic upper-room ultraviolet germicidal irradiation guidelines for healthcare settings. Atlanta: CDC, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (US); 2009. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2009-105.
52. Escombe AR, Moore DAJ, Gilman RH, et al. Upper-room ultraviolet light and negative air ionization to prevent tuberculosis transmission. PLoS Med 2009;6:e43. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000043.
53. Yıldırım G, Kılıç H, Karakaş HM. The antimicrobial efficacy of shielded ultraviolet germicidal irradiation in CT rooms with intense human circulation. Diagn Interv Radiol 2021 ;27(2):293-301. doi: 10.5152/dir.2021.20688.
54. Ijaz MK, Zargar B, Wright KE, Rubino JR, Sattar SA. Generic aspects of the airborne spread of human pathogens indoors and emerging air decontamination technologies. Am J Infect Control 2016;44(Suppl 9):S109-20. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2016.06.008.
55. Li Y, Leung GM, Tang JW, et al. Role of ventilation in airborne transmission of infectious agents in the built environment - a multidisciplinary systematic review. Indoor Air 2007;17:2-18. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0668.2006.00445.x.
56. Martinez JA, Ruthazer R, Hansjosten K, Barefoot L, Snydman DR. Role of environmental contamination as a risk factor for acquisition of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in patients treated in a medical intensive care unit. Arch Intern Med 2003;163:1905-12. doi: 10.1001/archinte.163.16.1905.
57. Mitchell BG, Dancer SJ, Anderson M, Dehn E. Risk of organism acquisition from prior room occupants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hosp Infect 2015;91:211-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2015.08.005.
58. Suleyman G, Alangaden G, Bardossy AC. The role of environmental contamination in the transmission of nosocomial pathogens and healthcare-associated infections. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2018;20:12. doi: 10.1007/s11908-018-0620-2.
59. Sehulster L, Chinn RY. CDC, HICPAC. Guidelines for environmental infection control in health-care facilities. Recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). MMWR Recomm Rep 2003;52:1-42.
60. McCullers JA, Williams BF, Wu S, et al. Healthcare-associated infections at a children's cancer hospital, 1983-2008. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 2012;1:26-34. doi: 10.1093/jpids/pis034.
61. Sung MK, Kato S. Estimating the germicidal effect of upper-room UVGI system on exhaled air of patients based on ventilation efficiency. Build Environ 2011;46:2326-32. doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.05.015.
62. Ethington T, Newsome S, Waugh J, Lee LD. Cleaning the air with ultraviolet germicidal irradiation lessened contact infections in a long-term acute care hospital. Am J Infect Control 2018;46:482-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.11.008.
63. Guimera D, Trzil J, Joyner J, Hysmith ND. Effectiveness of a shielded ultraviolet C air disinfection system in an inpatient pharmacy of a tertiary care children's hospital. Am J Infect Control 2018;46:223-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.07.026.
64. Kane DW, Finley C, Brown D. UV-C light and infection rate in a long-term care ventilator unit. Can J Infect Control 2018;33:44-8.
65. Lee LD. Surface and air: what impact does UV-C at the room level have on airborne and surface bacteria? Can J Infect Control 2017;32:108-11.
66. Xu P, Kujundzic E, Peccia J, Schafer MP, et al. Impact of environmental factors on efficacy of upper-room air ultraviolet germicidal irradiation for inactivating airborne mycobacteria. Environ Sci Technol 2005;39:9656-64. doi: 10.1021/es0504892.
67. Wolfe RL. Ultraviolet disinfection of potable water - current technology and research needs. Environ Sci Technol 1990;24:768-72.
68. Anderson DJ, Chen LF, Weber DJ, et al. Enhanced terminal room disinfection and acquisition and infection caused by multidrug-resistant organisms and Clostridium difficile (the Benefits of Enhanced Terminal Room Disinfection study): a cluster-randomised, multicentre, crossover study. Lancet 2017;389:805-14. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31588-4.
69. Anderson DJ, Moehring RW, Weber DJ, et al. Effectiveness of targeted enhanced terminal room disinfection on hospital wide acquisition and infection with multidrug-resistant organisms and Clostridium difficile: a secondary analysis of a multicentre cluster randomised controlled trial with crossover design (BETR Disinfection). Lancet Infect Dis 2018;18:845-53. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30278-0.
70. El Haddad L, Ghantoji SS, Stibich M, et al. Evaluation of a pulsed xenon ultraviolet disinfection system to decrease bacterial contamination in operating rooms. BMC Infect Dis 2017;17:672. doi: 10.1186/s12879-017-2792-z.
71. Zeber JE, Pfeiffer C, Baddley JW, et al. Effect of pulsed xenon ultraviolet room disinfection devices on microbial counts for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and aerobic bacterial colonies. Am J Infect Control 2018;46:668-73. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2018.02.001.
72. Lombini M, Schreiber L, Albertini R. et al. Solar Ultraviolet Light Collector for Germicidal Irradiation on the Moon. Submitted to Scientific Reports 2023.
73. Albertini R, Veronesi L, Colucci ME, Pasquarella C. The scenario of the studies on ragweed (*Ambrosia* Sp.) and related issues from its beginning to today: a useful tool for future goals in a one health approach. Acta Biomed 2022;93(5):e2022324. doi: 10.23750/abm.v93i5.13771.

74. Albertini R, Coluccia A, Colucci ME, et al. An overview of the studies on microbial air contamination in operating theatres and related issues over time: a useful tool for a multidisciplinary approach. Acta Biomed. 2023;94(S3):e2023149. doi: 10.23750/abm.v94iS3.14507.
75. Falagas ME, Pitsouni EI, Malietzis GA, Pappas G. Comparison of PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar: strengths and weaknesses. FASEB J 2008;22(2):338-42. doi: 10.1096/fj.07-9492LSF.
76. Scott R, Joshi LT, McGinn C. Hospital surface disinfection using ultraviolet germicidal irradiation technology: A review. Health Technol Lett 2022;9(3):25-33. doi: 10.1049/htl2.12032.
77. Diab-El Schahawi M, Zingg W, Vos M, et al. Ultraviolet disinfection robots to improve hospital cleaning: Real promise or just a gimmick? Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2021;12:10(1):33. doi: 10.1186/s13756-020-00878-4.
78. Kampf G, Scheithauer S, Lemmen S, Saliou P, Suchomel M. COVID-19-associated shortage of alcohol-based hand rubs, face masks, medical gloves, and gowns—proposal for a risk-adapted approach to ensure patient and healthcare worker safety. J Hosp Infect 2020;105(3):424–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.04.041.
79. Carling PC. Evaluating the thoroughness of environmental cleaning in hospitals. J Hosp Infect 2008;68(3):273–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2007.10.023.
80. Mehta I, Hsueh HY, Taghipour S, Li W, Saeedi S. UV Disinfection Robots: A Review. Rob Auton Syst 2023;161:104332. doi: 10.1016/j.robot.2022.104332.
81. Weber DJ, Anderson D, Rutala WA. The role of the surface environment in healthcare-associated infections. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2013;26(4):338–44. doi: 10.1097/QCO.0b013e3283630f04.

Correspondence:
Received: 4 April 2023
Accepted: 4 May 2023
Roberto Albertini, MSc, PhD
Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma,
Via Gramsci 14, Parma, 43126 Italy
E-mail: roberto.albertini@unipr.it
ORCID 0000-0002-9569-0467